

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/659,226	09	9/09/2003	Hieu Van Tran	2102397-992830	2102397-992830 5736		
26379	7590	08/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
DLA PIPER 2000 UNIVE		CK GRAY CAR	LAM,	LAM, DAVID			
E. PALO ALTO, CA 94303-2248			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

2827

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	ľ	F

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/659,226	TRAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
David Lam	2827

	David Lam	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the second con	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr	te extension fee iate extension fee
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing da		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. Mr The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.	
NOTE: the proposed amendment with newly addesubstatially alter the scope of the original claim. the consideration. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ed limitation (the under portion) to i	ndependent claim 16	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-15,22-44 and 52-90. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13.  Other:		· · · ·	
		117	
		DAVID LAM	

PRIMARY EXAMINER